

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.      | FILING DATE                      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/672,304           | 09/26/2003                       | Young-Je Cho         | 8071-47 (OPP 030615 US) | 4451             |
|                      | 7590 09/26/200<br>SSOCIATES, LLC | 07 EXAMINER          |                         |                  |
| 130 WOODBU           | RY ROAD                          |                      | DUONG, TAI V            |                  |
| · WOODBURY, NY 11797 |                                  |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                      |                                  |                      | 2871                    |                  |
| •                    |                                  |                      |                         |                  |
|                      |                                  |                      | MAIL DATE               | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                      |                                  |                      | 09/26/2007              | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| ,  | •  |   | RIT  |
|--|--|---|--|
|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  | (  |
| Advisory Action  | 10/672,304   | CHO ET AL.  | -  |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |
|  | Tai Duong  | 2871  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence addi   | ess  |
| THE REPLY FILED 11 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE TH  |  |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>              | wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply make of the final rejection.                                   | fidavit, or other evidenc<br>compliance with 37 CF<br>ust be filed within one           | ce, which<br>R 41.31; or (3)<br>of the following             |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or   | later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin  | g date of the final rejection   | on.  |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended and the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | '06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origor than three months after the mailing da | 136(a) and the appropriat<br>of the fee. The appropria<br>inally set in the final Offic | e extension fee<br>ate extension fee<br>be action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>   | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | o avoid dismissal of the  | s of the date of<br>e appeal. Since                          |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below   | onsideration and/or search (see NO   |   | ecause   |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a   | etter form for appeal by materially re   |   | he issues for  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.   |  | jected dialilis.  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1  |  | ompliant Amendment (  | PTOL-324).   |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s  | ,  |   |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a<br/>non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  | Illowable if submitted in a separate,  | timely filed amendme  | nt canceling the   |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 13 and 14. Claim(s) objected to: 6,7,25-27 and 32. Claim(s) rejected: 3-5,22-24,28-31 and 33-35. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:                                    |  | ill be entered and an e   | xplanation of  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |   |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  |  |   |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented. S   | eal and/or appellant fai<br>See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1                                       | ls to provide a ).   |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation   | on of the status of the claims after $\epsilon$  | entry is below or attach  | ea.  |

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)

See Continuation Sheet.

11. 🖾 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitations to claims 3, 22 and 35 raise new issues.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, rejection (enablement requirement).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims, as finally rejected, are not patentably distinct over the prior art for the reasons set forth in the last Office action.

TOAN TON
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER